





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/963,546 | 09/27/2001 | Yasuo Yamamoto | 110704 9227 EXAMINER | |
| 25944 7. | 590 08/04/2004 | | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | PATEL, NITIN | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER , |
| | , | | 2673 | 8 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| t data a day of O | 09/963,546 | YAMAMOTO ET AL. | | | |
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nitin Patel | 2673 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | |
| (1) Nitin Patel. | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>Leanna Lewin</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 26 July 2004. | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2)⊡ applicant's representative | <u>:</u>] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 5</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Yes. | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g | ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding to claim 1, Examiner has discussed with Ms.Lewin that how a charge controller is internally added to one or both of the particles more clarification is needed and for claim 5 after requesting reconsider after final if needed more search for limitation non magnetic particles examiner will give new ground of rejection. | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | , | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required